

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Armed Forces Bank, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DFA, LLC et al,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:13-cv-1996-JAD-CWH

Order Striking Summary Judgment Filings [31 – 37] and Granting Leave to Refile Properly Formatted Motion

On December 16, 2014, plaintiff filed four separate motions for summary judgment [#31, 32, 33, 35] based on a single, separately filed statement of facts [#36]. Collectively, these five submissions total 57 pages. Plaintiff has also separately filed declarations in support of these motions. [#34, 37].

Local Rule 7-4 limits summary-judgment motions to 30 pages. And Local Rule 56-1 clarifies that the statement of facts supporting the motion must be "include[d]" in the motion itself, not filed separately. Exhibits should not be filed as separate declarations but rather attached to the motion itself in compliance with Local Rule 10-3. By filing with seven separate documents what should have been a single motion for summary judgment with an included statement of facts and attached exhibits, plaintiff has essentially evaded the 30-page-limit rule.

Accordingly, with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Clerk of Court shall STRIKE from the record documents 31 through
 37; and
- Plaintiff has until December 24, 2014, to file a single motion for summary judgment that complies with the local rules and includes within its

memorandum of points and authorities a properly supported statement of facts and all summary judgment arguments, and which attaches any exhibits (including declarations) to support the motion. Plaintiff is reminded to review Orr v. Bank of America, 285 F.3d 764 (9th Cir. 2002), to ensure that all evidentiary submissions are properly authenticated because the court may consider only properly authenticated evidence on summary judgment. DATED: December 17, 2014. Jennifer A Dorsey United States District Judge